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## 1 Physics of one vortex line in harmonic trap

Assume general axisymmetric trap potential

$$
V_{\mathrm{tr}}(\boldsymbol{r})=V_{\mathrm{tr}}(r, z)=\frac{1}{2} M\left(\omega_{\perp}^{2} r^{2}+\omega_{z}^{2} z^{2}\right)
$$

Basic idea (Bogoliubov): for weak interparticle potentials, nearly all particles remain in condensate for $T \ll T_{c}$

- dilute: $s$-wave scattering length $a_{s} \ll$ interparticle spacing $n^{-1 / 3}$
- equivalently, require $n a_{s}^{3} \ll 1$
- assume self-consistent condensate wave function $\Psi(\boldsymbol{r})$
- gives nonuniform condensate density $n(\boldsymbol{r})=|\Psi(\boldsymbol{r})|^{2}$
- for $T \ll T_{c}$, normalization requires $N=\int d V|\Psi(\boldsymbol{r})|^{2}$
- assume an energy functional

$$
E[\Psi]=\int d V[\underbrace{\Psi^{*}\left(\mathcal{T}+V_{\text {tr }}\right) \Psi}_{\text {harmonic oscillator }}+\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} g|\Psi|^{4}}_{2 \text {-body term }}]
$$

where $\mathcal{T}=-\hbar^{2} \nabla^{2} / 2 M$ is kinetic energy operator and $g=4 \pi a_{s} \hbar^{2} / M$ is interaction coupling parameter

- balance of kinetic energy $\langle\mathcal{T}\rangle$ and trap energy $\left\langle V_{\text {tr }}\right\rangle$ gives mean oscillator length $d_{0}=\sqrt{\hbar / M \omega_{0}}$ where $\omega_{0}=\left(\omega_{\perp}^{2} \omega_{z}\right)^{1 / 3}$ is geometric mean
- balance of kinetic energy $\langle\mathcal{T}\rangle$ and interaction energy $\langle g n\rangle$ gives healing length

$$
\xi=\frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2 M g n}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{8 \pi a_{s} n}}
$$

- with fixed normalization and $\mu$ the chemical potential, variation of $E[\Psi]$ gives Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) eqn

$$
\left(\mathcal{T}+V_{\operatorname{tr}}+g|\Psi|^{2}\right) \Psi=\mu \Psi
$$

- can interpret nonlinear term as a Hartree potential $V_{H}(\boldsymbol{r})=g n(\boldsymbol{r})$, giving interaction with nonuniform condensate density
- generalize to time-dependent GP equation

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=\left(\mathcal{T}+V_{\mathrm{tr}}+V_{H}\right) \Psi
$$

- this result implies that stationary solutions have time dependence $\exp (-i \mu t / \hbar)$

Introduce hydrodynamic variables

- write $\Psi(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=|\Psi(\boldsymbol{r}, t)| \exp [i S(\boldsymbol{r}, t)]$ with phase $S$
- condensate density is $n(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=|\Psi(\boldsymbol{r}, t)|^{2}$
- current is

$$
\boldsymbol{j}=\frac{\hbar}{2 M i}\left[\Psi^{*} \nabla \Psi-\Psi \boldsymbol{\nabla} \Psi^{*}\right]=|\Psi|^{2} \frac{\hbar \boldsymbol{\nabla} S}{M}
$$

- identify last factor as velocity $\boldsymbol{v}=\hbar \boldsymbol{\nabla} S / M$
- note that $\boldsymbol{v}$ is irrotational so $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \wedge \boldsymbol{v}=0$
- general property: circulation around contour $\mathcal{C}$ is

$$
\oint_{\mathcal{C}} d \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}=\frac{\hbar}{M} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} d \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \nabla S=\left.\frac{\hbar}{M} \Delta S\right|_{\mathcal{C}}
$$

$$
\text { since } \boldsymbol{v}=\hbar \boldsymbol{\nabla} S / M
$$

- change of phase $\left.\Delta S\right|_{\mathcal{C}}$ must be integer times $2 \pi$ since $\Psi$ is single-valued
- hence circulation in BEC is quantized in units of $\kappa \equiv$ $2 \pi \hbar / M$
- rewrite time-dependent GP equation in terms of $|\Psi|$ and $S$
- imaginary part gives conservation of particles

$$
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t}+\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot(n \boldsymbol{v})=0
$$

- real part gives generalized Bernoulli equation

Introduction of harmonic trap yields much richer system than a uniform interacting Bose gas [5]

- trap gives new energy scale $\hbar \omega_{0}$ and new length scale $d_{0}=\sqrt{\hbar / M \omega_{0}}$
- assume repulsive interactions with $a_{s}>0$
- trap leads to new dimensionless parameter $N a_{s} / d_{0}$
- typical value of ratio: $a_{s} / d_{0} \sim 10^{-3}$
- $N a_{s} / d_{0}$ is large for typical $N \sim 10^{6}$
- repulsive interactions expand the condensate to mean radius $R_{0}$ that exceeds $d_{0}$
- neglect radial gradient of $\Psi$ when $N a_{s} / d_{0} \gg 1$
- GP equation simplifies and gives local density

$$
\frac{4 \pi a_{s} \hbar^{2}}{M}|\Psi(r, z)|^{2}=\mu-V_{\operatorname{tr}}(r, z)
$$

- harmonic trap gives quadratic density variation with condensate dimensions $R_{j}^{2}=2 \mu /\left(M \omega_{j}^{2}\right)$ [called ThomasFermi (TF) limit]


## One vortex line in trapped BEC

First assume bulk condensate with uniform density $n$ and a single straight vortex line along $z$ axis

- Gross and Pitaevskii $[6,7]$ : take condensate wave function

$$
\Psi(\boldsymbol{r})=\sqrt{n} e^{i \phi} f\left(\frac{r}{\xi}\right)
$$

where $r$ and $\phi$ are two-dimensional polar coordinates

- speed of sound is $s=\sqrt{g n / M}$
- assume $f(0)=0$ and $f(x) \rightarrow 1$ for $x \gg 1$
- velocity has circular streamlines with $\boldsymbol{v}=(\hbar / M r) \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$
- this is a quantized vortex line with $\oint d \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}=2 \pi \hbar / M$
- $v \sim s$ when $r \sim \xi$, so vortex core forms by cavitation
- equivalently, centrifugal barrier gives vortex core of radius $\xi$

Static behavior of straight vortex line in a trap
Axisymmetric trap with $V_{\mathrm{tr}}(r, z)=\frac{1}{2} M\left(\omega_{\perp}^{2} r^{2}+\omega_{z}^{2} z^{2}\right)$

- If $\omega_{z} / \omega_{\perp} \gg 1$, strong axial confinement gives diskshaped condensate
- If $\omega_{z} / \omega_{\perp} \ll 1$, strong radial confinement gives cigarshaped condensate
- for vortex on axis, condensate wave function is

$$
\Psi(\boldsymbol{r}, z)=e^{i \phi}|\Psi(r, z)|
$$

- velocity is $\boldsymbol{v}=(\hbar / M r) \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$, like uniform condensate
- centrifugal energy again forces wave function to vanish for $r \lesssim \xi$
- density is now toroidal; hole along symmetry axis
- TF limit: separated length scales with

$$
\xi(\text { vortex core }) \ll d_{0}(\text { mean oscillator length })
$$

$d_{0}$ (mean oscillator length) $\ll R_{0}$ (mean condensate radius)

- hence TF density is essentially unchanged by vortex

Energy of rotating TF condensate with one vortex

- use density of vortex-free TF condensate; cut off the logarithmic divergence at core radius $\xi$
- if condensate is in rotational equilibrium at angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, the appropriate energy functional is [8] $E^{\prime}[\Psi]=E[\Psi]-\boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{L}[\Psi]$ where $\boldsymbol{L}$ is the angular momentum
- let $E_{0}^{\prime}$ be energy of rotating vortex-free condensate
- let $E_{1}^{\prime}\left(r_{0}, \Omega\right)$ be energy of a rotating condensate with straight vortex that is displaced laterally by distance $r_{0}$ from symmetry axis
- approximation of straight vortex works best for diskshaped condensate $\left(\omega_{z} \gtrsim \omega_{\perp}\right)$
- Difference of these two energies is energy associated with formation of vortex $\Delta E^{\prime}\left(r_{0}, \Omega\right)=E_{1}^{\prime}\left(r_{0}, \Omega\right)-E_{0}^{\prime}$
- $\Delta E^{\prime}\left(r_{0}, \Omega\right)$ depends on position $r_{0}$ of vortex and on $\Omega$


Plot $\Delta E^{\prime}\left(r_{0}, \Omega\right)$ as function of $\zeta_{0}$ for various fixed $\Omega[9]$, where $\zeta_{0}=r_{0} / R_{0}$ is scaled displacement from center
curve (a) is $\Delta E^{\prime}\left(r_{0}, \Omega\right)$ for $\Omega=0$

- $\Delta E^{\prime}\left(r_{0}, 0\right)$ decreases monotonically with increasing $\zeta_{0}$
- curvature is negative at $\zeta_{0}=0$
- for no dissipation, fixed energy means constant $\zeta_{0}$
- only allowed motion is uniform precession at fixed $r_{0}$
- angular velocity is given by variational Lagrangian $\operatorname{method}[10,11,3] \dot{\phi}_{0} \propto-\partial E\left(r_{0}\right) / \partial r_{0}$
- precession arises from nonuniform trap potential (not image vortex) and nonuniform condensate density
- in presence of weak dissipation, vortex moves to lower energy and slowly spirals outward

As $\Omega$ increases, curvature near $\zeta_{0}=0$ decreases

- curve (b) is when curvature near $\zeta_{0}=0$ vanishes
- it corresponds to angular velocity

$$
\Omega_{m}=\frac{3}{2} \frac{\hbar}{M R_{\perp}^{2}} \ln \left(\frac{R_{\perp}}{\xi}\right)
$$

- for $\Omega \gtrsim \Omega_{m}$, energy $\Delta E^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}, \Omega\right)$ has local minimum near $\zeta_{0}=0$
- dissipation would now drive vortex back toward the symmetry axis
- $\Omega_{m}$ is angular velocity for onset of metastability
- vortex at center is locally stable for $\Omega>\Omega_{m}$, but not globally stable, since $\Delta E^{\prime}\left(0, \Omega_{m}\right)$ is positive

Mean vortex density in a rotating superfluid (Feynman)

- solid-body rotation has $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{sb}}=\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}$
- $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{sb}}$ has constant vorticity $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \wedge \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{sb}}=2 \boldsymbol{\Omega}$
- each quantized vortex at $\boldsymbol{r}_{j}$ has localized vorticity

$$
\boldsymbol{\nabla} \wedge \boldsymbol{v}=\frac{2 \pi \hbar}{M} \delta^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}_{j}\right) \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}
$$

- assume $\mathcal{N}_{v}$ vortices uniformly distributed in area $\mathcal{A}$ bounded by contour $\mathcal{C}$
- circulation around $\mathcal{C}$ is $\mathcal{N}_{v} \times 2 \pi \hbar / M$
- but circulation in $\mathcal{A}$ is also $2 \Omega \mathcal{A}$
- hence vortex density is $n_{v}=\mathcal{N}_{v} / \mathcal{A}=M \Omega / \pi \hbar$
- area per vortex $1 / n_{v}$ is $\pi \hbar / M \Omega \equiv \pi l^{2}$ which defines radius $l=\sqrt{\hbar / M \Omega}$ of circular cell
- intervortex spacing $\sim 2 l$ decreases like $1 / \sqrt{\Omega}$
- analogous to quantized flux lines (charged vortices) in type-II superconductors


## 2 Experimental creation/detection of vortices in dilute trapped BEC

- first vortex made at JILA (1999) [12]
- used nearly spherical ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ condensate containing two different hyperfine components
- use coherent (Rabi) process to control interconversion obetween two components
- spin up condensate by coupling the two components with a stirring perturbation
- turn off coupling, leaving one component with trapped quantized vortex surrounding nonrotating core of other component
- use selective tuning to make nondestructive image of either component

- study precession of this vortex with filled core around trap center
- can also create vortex with empty core [13]
- theory predicts $\dot{\phi} / 2 \pi \approx 1.58 \pm 0.16 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and
- experiment finds $\dot{\phi} / 2 \pi \approx 1.8 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$
- see no outward radial motion for $\sim 1 \mathrm{~s}$, so dissipation is small on this time scale

École Normale Supérieure (ENS) in Paris studied vortex creation in elongated rotating cigar-shaped condensate with one component $[14,15]$

- used off-center toggled rotating laser beam to deform the transverse trap potential and stir the condensate at an applied frequency $\Omega / 2 \pi \lesssim 200 \mathrm{~Hz}$

- find vortex appears at a critical frequency $\Omega_{c} \approx 0.7 \omega_{\perp}$ (detected by expanding the condensate, which now has a disk shape, with vortex core as expanded hole)
- vortex nucleation is dynamical process associated with surface instability (quadrupole oscillation)
- ENS group observed small vortex arrays of up to 11 vortices (arranged in two concentric rings)

- like patterns predicted and seen in superfluid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}[16]$

- MIT group has prepared considerably larger rotating condensates in less elongated trap
- they have observed triangular vortex lattices with up to 130 vortices [17]

- like Abrikosov lattice of quantized flux lines (which are charged vortices) in type-II superconductors
- JILA group has now made large rotating condensates with several hundred vortices and angular velocity $\Omega / \omega_{\perp} \approx 0.995$ [18]
- these rapidly rotating systems open many exciting new possibilities (discussed below)

Very recently, Zwierlein et al. (MIT) have studied ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Li}$ atoms (fermions) in optical dipole trap [19]

- by tuning an external magnetic field, can change the scattering length (Feshbach resonance)
- regime of bound molecules of fermions ( $a_{s}>0$ ): these "bosonic" molecules can undergo BEC
- then rotate using ENS laser-beam technique
- find vortex lattice that slowly decays
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- move from BEC region $\left(1 / k_{F} a_{s}>0\right)$ across resonant region to BCS region $\left(1 / k_{F} a_{s}<0\right)$ of unbound but attracting fermion pairs

- vortex lattice persists and survives across resonance into fermionic regime (not yet into BCS regime of weakly bound overlapping Cooper pairs)
- provides clear evidence for macroscopic coherence and superfluidity of condensed fermionic cold gas


## 3 Vortex arrays in mean-field Thomas-Fermi regime

As $\Omega$ increases, the mean vortex density $n_{v}=M \Omega / \pi \hbar$ increases linearly following the Feynman relation

- in addition, centrifugal forces expand the condensate radially, so that the area $\pi R_{\perp}^{2}$ also increases
- hence the number of vortices $\mathcal{N}_{v}=n_{v} \pi R_{\perp}^{2}=M \Omega R_{\perp}^{2} / \hbar$ increases faster than linearly with $\Omega$
- conservation of particles implies that the condensate also shrinks axially
- TF approximation assumes that interaction energy $\left.\left.\langle g| \Psi\right|^{4}\right\rangle$ and trap energy $\left.\left.\left\langle V_{\text {tr }}\right| \Psi\right|^{2}\right\rangle$ are large relative to kinetic energy for density variations $\left(\hbar^{2} / M\right)\left\langle(\boldsymbol{\nabla}|\Psi|)^{2}\right\rangle$
- expansion of condensate means that central density eventually becomes small and TF picture fails

Quantitative description of rotating TF condensate Kinetic energy of condensate involves

$$
\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \int d V|\nabla \Psi|^{2}=\underbrace{\int d V \frac{1}{2} M v^{2}|\Psi|^{2}}_{\text {superflow energy }}+\underbrace{\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 M} \int d V(\boldsymbol{\nabla}|\Psi|)^{2}}_{\text {density variation }}
$$

where $\Psi=\exp (i S)|\Psi|$ and $\boldsymbol{v}=\hbar \nabla S / M$ is flow velocity

- generalized TF approximation: retain the energy of superflow but ignore the energy from density variation
- this approximation will fail eventually when vortex lattice becomes dense and cores start to overlap
- in rotating frame, generalized TF energy functional is

$$
\begin{gathered}
E^{\prime}[\Psi]=\int d V\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} M v^{2}+V_{\operatorname{tr}}-M \boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{r} \wedge \boldsymbol{v}\right)|\Psi|^{2}\right. \\
\left.+\frac{1}{2} g|\Psi|^{4}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

- here, $\boldsymbol{v}$ is flow velocity generated by all the vortices

For $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ along $z$, can rewrite $E^{\prime}[\Psi]$ as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
E^{\prime}[\Psi]=\int d V\left[\frac{1}{2} M(\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \boldsymbol{r})^{2}|\Psi|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} M \omega_{z}^{2} z^{2}|\Psi|^{2}\right. \\
\\
\left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{\perp}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right) r^{2}|\Psi|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} g|\Psi|^{4}\right]
\end{array}
$$

- in the rotating frame, the dominant effect of the dense vortex array is that spatially averaged flow velocity $\langle\boldsymbol{v}\rangle$ is close to $\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}=\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{sb}}$
- hence can ignore first term in $E^{\prime}[\Psi]$, giving

$$
\begin{array}{r}
E^{\prime}[\Psi] \approx \int d V\left[\frac{1}{2} M \omega_{z}^{2} z^{2}|\Psi|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{\perp}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right) r^{2}|\Psi|^{2}\right. \\
\left.+\frac{1}{2} g|\Psi|^{4}\right]
\end{array}
$$

- $E^{\prime}$ now looks exactly like TF energy for nonrotating condensate but with a reduced radial trap frequency $\omega_{\perp}^{2} \rightarrow \omega_{\perp}^{2}-\Omega^{2}$

Now have TF wave function that depends explicitly on $\Omega$ through the altered radial trap frequency $\omega_{\perp}^{2} \rightarrow \omega_{\perp}^{2}-\Omega^{2}$

$$
|\Psi(r, z)|^{2}=n(0)\left(1-\frac{r^{2}}{R_{\perp}^{2}}-\frac{z^{2}}{R_{z}^{2}}\right)
$$

where $R_{\perp}^{2}=2 \mu /\left[M\left(\omega_{\perp}^{2}-\Omega^{2}\right)\right]$ and $R_{z}^{2}=2 \mu / M \omega_{z}^{2}$

- for pure harmonic trap, must have $\Omega<\omega_{\perp}$ to retain radial confinement
- normalization $\int d V|\Psi|^{2}=N$ shows that

$$
\frac{\mu(\Omega)}{\mu(0)}=\left(1-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{\omega_{\perp}^{2}}\right)^{2 / 5}
$$

in three dimensions

- central density given by $n(0)=\mu(\Omega) / g$
- $n(0)$ decreases with increasing $\Omega$ because of reduced radial confinement
- TF formulas for condensate radii show that
$\frac{R_{z}(\Omega)}{R_{z}(0)}=\left(1-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{\omega_{\perp}^{2}}\right)^{1 / 5}, \quad \frac{R_{\perp}(\Omega)}{R_{\perp}(0)}=\left(1-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{\omega_{\perp}^{2}}\right)^{-3 / 10}$
confirming axial shrinkage and radial expansion
- aspect ratio changes

$$
\frac{R_{z}(\Omega)}{R_{\perp}(\Omega)}=\frac{R_{z}(0)}{R_{\perp}(0)}\left(1-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{\omega_{\perp}^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

- this last effect provides an important diagnostic tool to determine actual angular velocity $\Omega[20,18]$

- measured aspect ratio [18] indicates that $\Omega / \omega_{\perp}$ can become as large as $\approx 0.993$

How uniform is the vortex array?
The analysis of the TF density profile $\left|\Psi_{T F}\right|^{2}=n_{T F}$ in the rotating condensate assumed that the flow velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$ was precisely the solid-body value $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{sb}}=\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \boldsymbol{r}$

- this led to the cancellation of the contribution

$$
\int d V(\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{\Omega} \wedge \boldsymbol{r})^{2} n_{T F}
$$

in the TF energy functional

- a more careful study [21] shows that there is a small nonuniformity in the vortex lattice
- specifically, each regular vortex lattice position vector $\boldsymbol{r}_{j}$ experiences a small displacement field $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{r})$, so that $\boldsymbol{r}_{j} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{r}_{j}+\boldsymbol{u}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{j}\right)$
- as a result, the two-dimensional vortex density changes to

$$
n_{v}(\boldsymbol{r}) \approx \overline{n_{v}}(1-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u})
$$

where $\overline{n_{v}}=M \Omega / \pi \hbar$ is the uniform Feynman value

- variation with respect to $\boldsymbol{u}$ yields an Euler-Lagrange equation that can be solved to give

$$
\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{r}) \approx \frac{\bar{l}^{2}}{4 R_{\perp}^{2}} \ln \left(\frac{\bar{l}^{2}}{\xi^{2}}\right) \frac{\boldsymbol{r}}{1-r^{2} / R_{\perp}^{2}}
$$

where $\bar{l}^{2}=1 / \pi \overline{n_{v}}$ can be taken as the mean circular cell radius inside the slowly varying logarithm

- the deformation of the regular vortex lattice is purely radial (as expected from symmetry)
- $R_{\perp}^{2} / \bar{l}^{2}$ is the number of vortices $\mathcal{N}_{v}$ in the rotating condensate, so that the nonuniform distortion is small, of order $1 / \mathcal{N}_{v}$ (at most a few $\%$ ), even though the TF number density $n_{T F}$ changes dramatically near edge
- correspondingly, the vortex density becomes

$$
n_{v}(r) \approx \overline{n_{v}}-\frac{1}{2 \pi R_{\perp}^{2}} \ln \left(\frac{\bar{l}^{2}}{\xi^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{\left(1-r^{2} / R_{\perp}^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

(the correction is again of order $1 / \mathcal{N}_{v}$ )

- recent JILA experiments [22] confirm these predicted small distortions for relatively dense vortex lattices



## Tkachenko oscillations of the vortex lattice

Tkachenko (1966) [23] studied equilibrium arrangement of a rotating vortex array as model for superfluid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$

- assumed two-dim incompressible fluid with straight vortices
- showed that a triangular lattice has lowest energy in rotating frame
- small perturbations about equilibrium positions had unusual collective motion in which vortices undergo nearly transverse wave of lattice distortions (like twodimensional transverse "phonons" in vortex lattice, but with no change in fluid density)
- for long wavelengths (small $k$ ), Tkachenko found a linear dispersion relation $\omega_{k} \approx c_{T} k$
- speed of Tkachenko wave $c_{T}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \hbar \Omega / M}=\frac{1}{2} \hbar / M \bar{l}$, where $\bar{l}=\sqrt{\hbar / M \Omega}$ is radius of circular vortex cell

In a rotating gas, the compressibility becomes important, as shown by Sonin $[24,25]$ and Baym [26]

- let the speed of sound in the compressible gas be $c_{s}$
- coupling between the vortices and the compressible fluid leads to generalized dispersion relation

$$
\omega^{2}=c_{T}^{2} \frac{c_{s}^{2} k^{4}}{4 \Omega^{2}+c_{s}^{2} k^{2}}
$$

- if $k \gg \Omega / c_{s}$, recover Tkachenko's result $\omega=c_{T} k$ (short-wavelength incompressible limit)
- but if $k \ll \Omega / c_{s}$ (long wavelength), mode becomes soft with $\omega \propto k^{2}$
- Sonin [25] obtains dynamical equations for waves in a nonuniform condensate, along with appropriate boundary conditions at the outer surface
- Baym [26] uses theory for uniform condensate plus approximate boundary conditions from Anglin and Crescimanno [27]
- rough agreement with JILA experiments [28] on lowlying Tkachenko modes in rapidly rotating BEC (up to $\Omega / \omega_{\perp} \approx 0.975$ )



## 4 Vortex arrays in mean-field quantum-Hall regime

Lowest-Landau-Level (quantum-Hall) behavior
When the vortex cores overlap, kinetic energy associated with density variation around each vortex core becomes important

- hence the TF approximation breaks down (it ignores this kinetic energy from density variations)
- return to full GP energy $E^{\prime}[\Psi]$ in the rotating frame.
- in this limit of rapid rotations $\left(\Omega \lesssim \omega_{\perp}\right)$, Ho [29] incorporated kinetic energy exactly
- condensate expands and is effectively two dimensional
- for simplicity, treat a two-dimensional condensate that is uniform in the $z$ direction over a length $Z$
- condensate wave function $\Psi(\boldsymbol{r}, z)$ can be written as $\sqrt{N / Z} \psi(\boldsymbol{r})$, where $\psi(\boldsymbol{r})$ is a two-dimensional wave function with unit normalization $\int d^{2} r|\psi|^{2}=1$

General two-dimensional energy functional in rotating frame becomes
$E^{\prime}[\psi]=\int d^{2} r \psi^{*}(\underbrace{\frac{p^{2}}{2 M}+\frac{1}{2} M \omega_{\perp}^{2} r^{2}-\Omega L_{z}}_{\text {one-body oscillator } \mathcal{H}_{0}}+\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} g_{2 D}|\psi|^{2}}_{\text {interaction }}) \psi$,
where $\boldsymbol{p}=-i \hbar \boldsymbol{\nabla}, L_{z}=\hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \cdot \boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{p}$, and $g_{2 D}=N g / Z$
One-body oscillator hamiltonian in rotating frame $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ is exactly soluble and has eigenvalues [30]

$$
\epsilon_{n m}=\hbar\left[\omega_{\perp}+n\left(\omega_{\perp}+\Omega\right)+m\left(\omega_{\perp}-\Omega\right)\right]
$$

where $n$ and $m$ are non-negative integers

- in limit $\Omega \rightarrow \omega_{\perp}$, these eigenvalues are essentially independent of $m$ (massive degeneracy)
- $n$ becomes the Landau level index
- lowest Landau level with $n=0$ is separated from higher states by gap $\sim 2 \hbar \omega_{\perp}$

Large radial expansion means small central density $n(0)$, so that interaction energy $g n(0)$ eventually becomes small compared to gap $2 \hbar \omega_{\perp}$

Hence focus on "lowest Landau level" (LLL), with $n=0$ and general non-negative $m \geq 0$

- LLL eigenfunctions have a very simple form

$$
\psi_{0 m}(\boldsymbol{r}) \propto r^{m} e^{i m \phi} e^{-r^{2} / 2 d_{\perp}^{2}}
$$

- here, $d_{\perp}=\sqrt{\hbar / M \omega_{\perp}}$ is analogous to the "magnetic length" in the Landau problem
- in terms of a complex variable $\zeta \equiv x+i y$, these LLL eigenfunctions have an extremely simple form

$$
\psi_{0 m} \propto \zeta^{m} e^{-r^{2} / 2 d_{\perp}^{2}}
$$

with $m \geq 0$ (note that $\zeta=r e^{i \phi}$ when expressed in two-dimensional polar coordinates)

- assume that the GP wave function is a finite linear combination of these LLL eigenfunctions

$$
\psi_{L L L}(\boldsymbol{r})=\sum_{m \geq 0} c_{m} \psi_{0 m}(\boldsymbol{r})=f(\zeta) e^{-r^{2} / 2 d_{\perp}^{2}}
$$

where $f(\zeta)=\sum_{m \geq 0} c_{m} \zeta^{m}$ is an analytic function of the complex variable $\zeta$

- specifically, $f(\zeta)$ is a complex polynomial and thus can be factorized as $f(\zeta)=\prod_{j}\left(\zeta-\zeta_{j}\right)$ apart from overall constant
- $f(\zeta)$ vanishes at each of the points $\left\{\zeta_{j}\right\}$, which are the positions of the nodes of $\psi_{L L L}$
- in addition, phase of wave function increases by $2 \pi$ whenever $\zeta$ moves around any of these zeros $\left\{\zeta_{j}\right\}$
- we conclude that the LLL trial solution has singly quantized vortices located at positions of zeros $\left\{\zeta_{j}\right\}$
- spatial variation of number density $n(\boldsymbol{r})=\left|\psi_{L L L}(\boldsymbol{r})\right|^{2}$ is determined by spacing of the vortices, so that core size is comparable with the intervortex spacing $\bar{l}=$ $\sqrt{\hbar / M \Omega}$ which is simply $d_{\perp}$ in the limit $\Omega \approx \omega_{\perp}$
- unlike TF approximation at lower $\Omega$, wave function $\psi_{L L L}$ automatically includes all the kinetic energy
- since LLL wave functions play a crucial role in the quantum Hall effect (two-dimensional electrons in a strong magnetic field), this LLL regime has been called "mean-field quantum-Hall" limit [31]
- note that we are still in the regime governed by GP equation, so there is still a BEC
- corresponding many-body ground state is simply a Hartree product with each particle in same one-body solution $\psi_{L L L}(\boldsymbol{r})$, namely

$$
\Psi_{G P}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{r}_{N}\right) \propto \prod_{n=1}^{N} \psi_{L L L}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{n}\right)
$$

- this is coherent (superfluid) state, since a single GP state $\psi_{L L L}$ has macroscopic occupation

Take this LLL trial function seriously

- for any LLL state $\psi_{L L L}$, can show that (use oscillator units with $\omega_{\perp}$ and $d_{\perp}$ for energy and length) [29, 31, 32]

$$
\int d^{2} r r^{2}\left|\psi_{L L L}\right|^{2}=1+\int d^{2} r \psi_{L L L}^{*} L_{z} \psi_{L L L}
$$

- allows exact rewriting of energy functional

$$
E^{\prime}\left[\psi_{L L L}\right]=\Omega+\int d^{2} r\left[(1-\Omega) r^{2}\left|\psi_{L L L}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} g_{2 D}\left|\psi_{L L L}\right|^{4}\right]
$$

- unrestricted variation would lead to inverted parabola

$$
|\psi|^{2}=n(r)=\frac{2}{\pi R_{0}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{r^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}}\right)
$$

where $\pi R_{0}^{4}=2 g_{2 D} /(1-\Omega)$ fixes condensate radius

- looks like earlier TF profile, but here include all kinetic energy explicitly
- these results ignore vortices and violate form of $\psi_{L L L}$
- to include effect of vortices, study logarithm of the particle density for any LLL state
- use $\psi_{L L L}$ to find

$$
\ln n_{L L L}(\boldsymbol{r})=-\frac{r^{2}}{d_{\perp}^{2}}+2 \sum_{j} \ln \left|\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}_{j}\right|
$$

- apply two-dimensional Laplacian: use standard result $\nabla^{2} \ln \left|\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}_{j}\right|=2 \pi \delta^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}_{j}\right)$ to obtain

$$
\nabla^{2} \ln n_{L L L}(\boldsymbol{r})=-\frac{4}{d_{\perp}^{2}}+4 \pi \sum_{j} \delta^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r}_{j}\right)
$$

- here, sum over delta functions is precisely the vortex density $n_{v}(\boldsymbol{r})$
- this result relates particle density $n_{L L L}(\boldsymbol{r})$ in LLL approximation to vortex density $n_{v}(\boldsymbol{r})[29,31,32]$

$$
\frac{1}{4} \nabla^{2} \ln n_{L L L}(\boldsymbol{r})=-\frac{1}{d_{\perp}^{2}}+\pi n_{v}(\boldsymbol{r})
$$

- if vortex lattice is exactly uniform (so $n_{v}$ is constant), then density profile is strictly Gaussian, with $n_{L L L}(\boldsymbol{r}) \propto$ $\exp \left(-r^{2} / \sigma^{2}\right)$ and $\sigma^{-2}=d_{\perp}^{-2}-\pi n_{v} \propto \omega_{\perp}-\Omega$
- note that $\sigma^{2} \gg d_{\perp}^{2}$
- to better minimize the energy, mean density profile $\bar{n}_{L L L}$ should approximate inverted parabolic shape $\bar{n}_{L L L}(\boldsymbol{r}) \propto 1-r^{2} / R_{\perp}^{2}$
- then find nonuniform vortex density with

$$
n_{v}(r) \approx \frac{1}{\pi d_{\perp}^{2}}-\frac{1}{\pi R_{\perp}^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(1-r^{2} / R_{\perp}^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

similar to result at lower $\Omega$ [21] (in both cases, small correction term is of order $\sim \mathcal{N}_{v}^{-1}$ )

- independently, numerical work by Cooper et al. [33] shows that allowing the vortices in the LLL to deviate from the triangular array near the outer edge lowers the energy


## 5 Behavior for $\Omega \rightarrow \omega_{\perp}$

What happens beyond the "mean-field quantum Hall" regime is still subject to vigorous debate

Predict quantum phase transition from coherent BEC states to correlated many-body states

- define the ratio $\nu \equiv N / \mathcal{N}_{v}$ of the number of atoms per vortex
- because of similarities to a two-dimensional electron gas in a strong magnetic field, $\nu$ is called the "filling fraction" $[34,35]$
- current experiments [18] have $N \sim 10^{5}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{v} \sim$ several hundred, so $\nu \sim$ a few hundred
- numerical studies [35] for small number of vortices $\left(\mathcal{N}_{v} \lesssim 8\right)$ and variable $N$ indicate that the coherent GP state is favored for $\nu \gtrsim 6$
- for smaller $\nu$ there is a sequence of highly correlated states similar to some known from the quantum Hall effect, in particular a bosonic version of the Laughlin state [35] (here $z_{n}=x_{n}+i y_{n}$ refers to $n$th particle)

$$
\Psi_{\mathrm{Lau}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{r}_{N}\right) \propto \prod_{n<n^{\prime}}^{N}\left(z_{n}-z_{n^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \exp \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}}{2 d_{\perp}^{2}}\right)
$$

- these correlated many-body states are qualitatively different from coherent GP form
$-\Psi_{G P}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{r}_{N}\right) \propto \prod_{n} \psi\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{n}\right)$ is the Hartree product of $N$ factors of same one-body function $\psi(\boldsymbol{r})$
- the product $\prod_{n<n^{\prime}}\left(z_{n}-z_{n^{\prime}}\right)^{2}$ in $\Psi_{\text {Lau }}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}, \boldsymbol{r}_{2}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{r}_{N}\right)$ involves $N(N-1) / 2$ factors for all possible pairs of particles and vanishes whenever two particles are close together
- this is the source of the correlations
- for large $N$, correlated form $\Psi_{\text {Lau }}$ is much more difficult to use

How to reach correlated regime?

- need to reduce the ratio $\nu=N / \mathcal{N}_{v}$ (number of atoms per vortex)
- one possibility is to use array of small condensates trapped in optical lattice
- need to rotate each condensate to a relatively high angular velocity
- several experimental groups working on this option


## 6 Addition of quartic potential

One way to avoid singularity when $\Omega \rightarrow \omega_{\perp}$ is to add a quartic confining potential [36, 37, 38]

- now have a total potential with quadratic and quartic terms

$$
V_{\mathrm{tr}}=\frac{1}{2} M \omega_{\perp}^{2}\left(r^{2}+\lambda \frac{r^{4}}{d_{\perp}^{2}}\right)
$$

where the dimensionless constant $\lambda$ fixes the quartic admixture

- allows access to regime $\Omega / \omega_{\perp} \geq 1$
- assume nearly uniform vortex array with $\boldsymbol{v} \approx \Omega \wedge \boldsymbol{r}$
- studied experimentally at ENS, Paris [39], where a blue-detuned axial laser provided the weak quartic confinement $\left(\lambda \sim 10^{-3}\right.$ and $\left.\omega_{\perp} / 2 \pi \approx 64.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$


FIG. 1. Pictures of the rotating gas taken along the rotation axis after 18 ms time of flight. We indicate in each picture the stirring frequency $\Omega_{\text {stir }}^{(2)}$ during the second stirring phase $\left(\omega_{\perp} / 2 \pi=64.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. The vertical size of each image is $306 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

- find regular vortex lattice for $\Omega \lesssim \omega_{\perp}$
- find disordered vortex lattice for $\Omega \gtrsim \omega_{\perp}$
- near $\Omega \approx 1.05 \omega_{\perp}$, the system seems to break up
- TF theory predicts a reduced density at center, which is observed


FIG. 2. Optical thickness of the atom cloud after time of flight for $\Omega_{\text {stir }}^{(2)} / 2 \pi=66 \mathrm{~Hz}$. (a) Radial distribution in the $x y$ plane of Fig. 1(e). Continuous line: fit using the Thomas-Fermi distribution (3). (b) Distribution along the $z$ axis averaged over $|x|<20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (imaging beam propagating along $y$ ).

What is happening?

- ENS condensate is nearly spherical for $\Omega \sim \omega_{\perp}$, so three-dimensional effects are important
- they suggest repeating the experiment with strong axial confinement to see if three-dimensional effects dominate and cause instability
- GP analysis in two dimensions finds nothing like the observed break up [37, 38, 40]
- is there some sort of transition from a GP state to a highly correlated state in the regime $\Omega \gtrsim \omega_{\perp}$ ?
- this issue remains very uncertain
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