

MA3A6 WEEK 5 ASSIGNMENT : DUE MONDAY 4PM WEEK 5

BILL HART

1. Determine all the field conjugates of  $\beta = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}}$  for the number field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f(x) = x^4 - x^2 - 1$ .

Let  $g(x) = x^2 - x - 1$ , then  $f(x) = g(x^2)$ . The roots of  $g(x)$  are  $\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$ , thus the roots of  $f(x)$  are  $\pm \sqrt{\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}}$ .

Since we are after all the field conjugates of  $\beta$ , we should write  $\beta$  in terms of one of the roots of  $f(x)$ . Then all we have to do is replace this root of  $f(x)$  with each of the other roots of  $f(x)$  in turn to get the field conjugates of  $\beta$ .

Let's pick the root  $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}}$  of  $f(x)$ . We note that  $\beta = \alpha + 1$ . So replacing  $\alpha$  with each of its four conjugates in turn, in the expression for  $\beta$ , we get  $1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}}$ . These four values are the field conjugates of  $\beta$ .

2. Let  $K$  be a number field and let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n$  be the monomorphisms from  $K$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ . The absolute norm of an element  $\beta \in K$  is defined to be  $N(\beta) = \prod_i \beta_i$  where  $\beta_i = \sigma_i(\beta)$ .

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^4 - x^2 - 1$ . Determine  $N\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)$  for the number field  $K$ . (Note the norm depends on the number field.)

Let  $\alpha$  be as in the previous solution. We want the norm of  $\gamma = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} = 1 + \alpha^2$ . We replace  $\alpha$  with each of its conjugates in this expression for  $\gamma$  to get the field conjugates of  $\gamma$ . We get  $1 + \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, 1 + \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, 1 + \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}, 1 + \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$  are the field conjugates of  $\gamma$ . The norm of  $\gamma$  in this field is the product of these field conjugates, i.e.  $\left(1 - \left(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)\right)^2 = 1^2 = 1$ .

3. Prove that all quadratic number fields are Galois.

All quadratic number fields are of the form  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The roots of the minimum polynomial are  $\sqrt{d}$  and  $-\sqrt{d}$  which are both in  $K$ . Thus all roots of the minimum polynomial of the generator are in  $K$  and thus a quadratic field is Galois.

4. A number field is said to be totally real if each of the conjugate roots of the defining polynomial is real.

Consider the cyclotomic number field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  for a prime  $p$ , where  $\zeta_p^p = 1$  and  $\zeta_p \neq 1$ . Let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$  be the subfield of  $K$  generated by the element  $\beta = \zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}$ . Show that  $L$  is totally real.

Since  $p$  is prime, the minimum polynomial is  $(x^p - 1)/(x - 1)$ . The roots of this are the  $p$ -th roots of unity, excluding 1, i.e. they are  $\zeta_p^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, (p - 1)$ .

Since  $\beta$  is in  $K$ , the roots of its minimum polynomial are amongst the field conjugates of  $\beta$ . But the field conjugates of  $\beta$  are just  $\beta_i = \zeta_p^i + \zeta_p^{-i}$ . But  $\zeta_p^i$  and  $\zeta_p^{-i}$  are complex conjugates of each other, so their sum is real, i.e. each of the  $\beta_i$  are real. But if all the field conjugates of  $\beta$  are real, then all the conjugates of  $\beta$  are real. Thus the field  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$  is totally real.

Is  $L$  Galois?

Yes. Each of the  $\beta_i$  can be written in terms of powers of  $\beta$  and is thus in  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ .

To prove the last statement, consider  $\beta_i = \zeta_p^i + \zeta_p^{-i}$ . Start with  $\beta^i = (\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})^i$  and expand it out into an expression in terms of powers of  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^{-1}$ . It starts  $\beta^i = \zeta_p^i + \zeta_p^{-i} + p\zeta_p^{i-1} + p\zeta_p^{1-i} + \dots$ , i.e.  $\beta_i = \beta^i + s(\zeta_p, \zeta_p^{-1})$ , where  $s(x, y) = s(y, x)$ , i.e. the rest of the expression is the same if you swap  $\zeta_p$  and  $\zeta_p^{-1}$ , and where  $s(x, y)$  has degree  $i - 1$  in  $x$  and  $y$ .

But now we can write  $s(\zeta_p, \zeta_p^{-1}) = p(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})^{i-1} + t(\zeta_p, \zeta_p^{-1})$  where  $t(x, y) = t(y, x)$  and it has degree  $i - 2$  in  $x$  and  $y$ , etc. We keep doing this and we eventually get that  $\beta_i = \beta^i + p\beta^{i-1} + a_{i-2}\beta^{i-2} + \dots + a_1\beta + a_0$  for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $\beta_i \in L = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$  for all  $i$ .

Thus  $L$  is Galois.

I didn't expect you to prove this, but well done if you did!

Those of you studying Galois theory may have had an easier time with this problem.

*E-mail address:* `hart_wb@yahoo.com`